

Errata

In the December 2004 issue of the *Journal*, in the article entitled “A Combined Linkage-Physical Map of the Human Genome,” by Kong et al. (75:1143–1148), some mistakes appeared in table 1. In the “Physical Length” column, the units should be megabases (Mb). The decimal points in the same column are all off position and

should be moved one place to the left—for example, the first number says 2,451.3 but instead should read 245.13. In the first column, entry “23” should be replaced with “X.” The corrected table 1 is shown here. The authors regret the errors.

Table 1

Description of the Rutgers Combined Linkage-Physical Maps

CHROMOSOME	NO. OF MARKERS MAPPED TO		PHYSICAL LENGTH (Mb)	MAP LENGTH (Kosambi cM)		
	Positions	Intervals ^a		Sex Averaged	Female	Male
1	968	239	245.13	286.5	358.0	221.7
2	906	151	243.16	263.3	338.6	191.6
3	802	199	198.96	225.1	282.3	170.2
4	677	161	191.51	212.2	273.2	154.7
5	677	189	180.32	208.2	264.9	155.5
6	689	150	169.96	192.2	247.6	140.9
7	624	160	158.06	189.0	237.8	142.2
8	603	109	145.70	173.3	220.2	132.3
9	502	90	135.81	168.7	198.4	141.1
10	619	158	134.60	173.5	216.5	133.2
11	572	163	134.08	163.8	205.3	124.3
12	550	94	131.43	174.2	213.8	137.2
13	374	99	94.45	128.9	157.0	102.5
14	395	89	85.13	123.8	146.7	101.8
15	344	87	79.69	130.2	157.1	106.5
16	378	118	89.51	134.2	159.5	110.9
17	438	213	81.18	137.5	164.6	113.0
18	342	47	75.33	124.2	148.3	102.3
19	308	93	62.78	112.2	126.3	99.3
20	322	68	61.38	102.5	125.3	82.1
21	194	35	33.22	68.5	80.5	58.4
22	175	69	33.11	86.1	93.4	79.4
X	411	108	152.27	184.8	184.8	11.7
Total	11,870	2,889	2,916.78	3,762.6	4,600.1	2,812.8

^a These markers could not be localized into a single map position and were instead localized to a larger map interval or bin.

In the January 2005 issue of the *Journal*, in the article entitled “Mapping of a Major Locus that Determines Telomere Length in Humans,” by Vasa-Nicotera et al. (76:147–151), there is an error in the last sentence of

the second column on page 147. It should read “...the mean age-adjusted TRF length in women was *longer*...” rather than “shorter.” The authors regret the error.